

CORRECTION

Open Access



Correction to: The turbidite-contourite-tidalite-baroclinite-hybridite problem: orthodoxy vs. empirical evidence behind the “Bouma Sequence”

G. Shanmugam

Correction to: *Journal of Palaeogeography* 10, 9 (2021)
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s42501-021-00085-1>

Following publication of the original article (Shanmugam 2021), two minor typos were found in the Abstract, in line 6, the ‘muddy contorts’ should be ‘muddy contourites’. In line 8, the ‘contoured facies’ should be ‘contourite facies’. The original paper has been updated.

Published online: 27 May 2021

Reference

Shanmugam, G. 2021. The turbidite-contourite-tidalite-baroclinite-hybridite problem: orthodoxy vs. empirical evidence behind the “Bouma Sequence”. *Journal of Palaeogeography* 10: 9. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s42501-021-00085-1>.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s42501-021-00085-1>.

Correspondence: shanshanmugam@aol.com

Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, The University of Texas at Arlington, Arlington, TX 76019, USA



© The Author(s). 2021 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.